

From the INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINING AUTHORITY RENAULT **OGILVY RENAULT Suite 1600** 1981 McGill College Avenue Montreal, Québec H3A 2Y3 MAR 1 6 2004 CANADA (PCT Rule 66) QL JUN 1 1 2804 Date of mailing 11.03.2004 (day/month/year) Applicant's or agent's file reference **REPLY DUE** within 3 month(s) 6013-106PCT from the above date of mailing International application No. International filing date (day/month/year) Priority date stay proping 16.07.2002 PCT/CA 03/01080 16.07.2003 RECU International Patent Classification (IPC) or both national classification and IPC C07H15/04 Applicant UNIVERSITE LAVAL et al. OGILVY RENAULT QUÉBEC This written opinion is the first drawn up by this International Preliminary Examining Authority. 1. 2. This opinion contains indications relating to the following items: \boxtimes Basis of the opinion 11 **Priority** Ш Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability IV Lack of unity of invention \boxtimes Reasoned statement under Rule 66.2(a)(ii) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement VI Certain documents cited Certain defects in the international application VIII Certain observations on the international application 3. The applicant is hereby invited to reply to this opinion. See the time limit indicated above. The applicant may, before the expiration of that time limit, When? request this Authority to grant an extension, see Rule 66.2(d). How? By submitting a written reply, accompanied, where appropriate, by amendments, according to Rule 66.3. For the form and the language of the amendments, see Rules 66.8 and 66.9. For an additional opportunity to submit amendments, see Rule 66.4. Also: For the examiner's obligation to consider amendments and/or arguments, see Rule 66.4 bis. For an informal communication with the examiner, see Rule 66.6. If no reply is filed, the international preliminary examination report will be established on the basis of this opinion. The final date by which the international preliminary 4. examination report must be established according to Rule 69.2 is: 16.11.2004

Name and mailing address of the international preliminary examining authority:



European Patent Office D-80298 Munich

Tel. +49 89 2399 - 0 Tx: 523656 epmu d

Fax: +49 89 2399 - 4465

Authorized Officer

Klein, D

Formalities officer (incl. extension of time limits)

Ambroa, J.R.

Telephone No. +49 89 2399-8012





WRITTEN OPINION

International application No.

PCT/CA 03/01080

		 	~	 100
I.	Basis	 		 16311

1.	With regard to the elements of the international application (Replacement sheets which have been furnished to
	the receiving Office in response to an invitation under Article 14 are referred to in this opinion as "originally
	filed"):

	De	scription, Pages							
	1-2	1	as originally filed						
	Ċla	ims, Numbers	·						
	1-5	•	as originally filed						
	Dra	wings, Sheets		•					
	1/8	-8/8	as originally filed						
2.	Wit lan	h regard to the lang u guage in which the in	lage, all the elements marked above were available or furnished to this Authority ternational application was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item.	in the					
	The	ese elements were av	vailable or furnished to this Authority in the following language: , which is:						
		the language of pub	anslation furnished for the purposes of the international search (under Rule 23.1 (bilication of the international application (under Rule 48.3(b)). anslation furnished for the purposes of international preliminary examination (under 3).						
3.	Wit inte	h regard to any nucle rnational preliminary	eotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application, the examination was carried out on the basis of the sequence listing:	Э					
		contained in the inte	ernational application in written form.	:					
		filed together with th	ne international application in computer readable form.	•					
		furnished subsequer	ntly to this Authority in written form.						
		furnished subseque	ntly to this Authority in computer readable form.						
		The statement that t in the international a	the subsequently furnished written sequence listing does not go beyond the disclo	sure					
		The statement that the information recorded in computer readable form is identical to the written sequence listing has been furnished.							
4.	The	amendments have r	esulted in the cancellation of:	. • :					
		the description,	pages:	•					
		the claims,	Nos.:						
		the drawings,	sheets:						
5.		This opinion has been been considered to	en established as if (some of) the amendments had not been made, since they har go beyond the disclosure as filed (Rule 70.2(c)).	ve					
3.	Add	Additional observations, if necessary:							

- V. Reasoned statement under Rule 66.2(a)(ii) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement
- 1. Statement

Novelty (N)

Claims

yes: 1-5; No: ---

Inventive step (IS)

Claims

Yes: ---; No: 1-5

Industrial applicability (IA)

Claims

yes: 1-5; No: ---

2. Citations and explanations

see separate sheet

WRITTEN OPINION SEPARATE SHEET



Basis of the opinion

Description, pages:

1-21

as originally filed

Claims, No.:

1-5

as originally filed

Drawings, sheets:

1/8-8/8

as originally filed

Re Item V

Reasoned statement under Rule 66.2(a)(ii) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

Reference is made to the following documents:

D1: Fett Wissenschaft Technologie- Fat Science Technology, Conradin Industrieverlag. Leinfelden Echterdingen, De (1989), 91(9), 363-366

D2: JP-A-2000169495

Novelty:

The subject-matter of the present application concerns compounds consisting in a disaccharide linked at least to one lipid side chain.

D2 discloses a series of glycolipids among which compound 7 page 13. Nevertheless, no stereochemistry **seems** to be implied for this compound.

Therefore the subject-matter of the present application is considered new.

Inventive Step:

In the absence of any available translation of D2, D1 will be considered for the moment as the closest prior during the present proceedings.

D1 discloses a series of antimicrobial glycolipids, among which a compound (CL-1

WRITTEN OPINION SEPARATE SHEET

fig 4) from which the subject-matter of the present application differs in that the L group of the bears a further hydroxyl group.

The problem to be solved in the present application can be regarded as providing further compounds having antimicrobial activities.

Since D2 discloses exactly the same L group (and the same side chain as well), the subject-matter of the present application merely consists of the simple combination of D1 and D2.

Therefore the subject-matter of claims 1-5 is not considered inventive.

Industrial applicability:

The subject-matter of the present application is considered to fulfil the requirements of industrial applicability.

Further important remark:

The wording "...or an analogue, a derivative..." of claims 2-4 is vague and unclear and leaves the reader in doubt as to the meaning of the technical feature to which it refers, thereby rendering the definition of the subject-matter of said claims unclear (Article 6 PCT).